| 1 |
|----|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| |

28

RELATED

| UNITED STATES | S DISTRICT COURT |
|--|---|
| NORTHERN DISTR | CICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| SAN JOS | E DIVISION |
| VICTOR ONUOHA, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, | Case No. 5:16-cv-06440-EJD ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES ARE RELATED |
| | Re: Dkt. No. 57 |
| FACEBOOK, INC., | |
| Defendant. | |
| Presently before the court is an administ | rative motion to consider whether the above- |
| entitled action is related to another civil action of | currently pending in this district, Communications |
| Workers of America v. T-Mobile US, Inc., Case | e No. 5:17-cv-07232-BLF. |
| The court has reviewed the motion and t | he dockets for both cases in accordance with the |
| requirements of Civil Local Rule 3-12 and has d | letermined it is unlikely there will be an unduly |
| burdensome duplication of labor and expense or | conflicting results if the cases are conducted |
| before different Judges. | |
| On that basis, the court finds the cases an | re not related within the definition of Rule 3-12 |
| and will not order a reassignment. | |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| Dated: January 8, 2018 | EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge |

Case No.: <u>5:16-cv-06440-EJD</u> ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES ARE